The effect of strength and mobility training on vertical jump performance in a professional basketball player
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Background
Jumping and sprinting are key performance indicators in basketball as indicated by their inclusion in the NBA Combine. Vertical jump is a classical power test, which is determined by the ability to generate force into the ground at increasing velocities. The interaction of force and velocity can be determined from single or multiple jumps and presented as a force-velocity (F-v) curve. In a single jump F-v curve information of how the athlete is able to generate force as the velocity increases is provided. From multiple jumps both F-v and load-velocity curves can be generated to determine how an athlete is able to generate force and speed across a continuum of loads. In multi-joint movements, such as the vertical jump, the F-v relationship is linear. Power, the product of force and velocity, is commonly presented with F-v curves. The parabolic power curve has a maximum value where the product of force and velocity has the greatest value. Recently the F-v relationship for different movements has gained a lot of interest, in particular in jumping and sprinting, for both testing and training purposes [1], even if this is not a new concept [2]. New methods [3, 4] in combination with new and existing equipment (force plates, linear encoders, robotic resistance etc.) being more commonplace has allowed us to apply this knowledge to a greater extent outside research environments. In particular, the restoration of an optimal F-v velocity relationship offers an interesting approach where the specific factor, force or velocity, to be targeted in training can be identified [3].

However, jump height is not only dependent on the ability to generate force and velocity. Samozino and co-workers demonstrated that jump height is dependent on maximum force ($F_0$), velocity ($v_0$) and the distance of force production ($h_{P0}$). The same authors showed that variations in $h_{P0}$ impacted jump height [5]. The vertical distance ($h_{P0}$) is primarily dependent on the coordinated execution of lower extremity sagittal plane joint movements (ankle plantarflexion, knee and hip extension). Thus, a
limitation of being able to generate a sufficient excursion of these movements could possibly influence $h_{P0}$. Hip and knee flexion mobility are not likely to influence vertical jumping performance, however a limited dorsiflexion will impact plantarflexion excursion. Thus, dorsiflexion has been studied in relation to jumping performance [6-9], and is also a movement targeted in the movement/strength and conditioning routine of NBA players (personal communication and observation). Specifically, ankle dorsiflexion has been found to affect muscle recruitment and reorganize motor patterns [10] where an increased (or sufficient) dorsiflexion has been found to improve lower extremity joint coordination [6] and jump height [6-9]. Joint mobility is commonly obtained using goniometry [11], however more ecological tests such as the weight bearing lunge test [12] and the anterior reach of the star excursion balance tests (SEBT) [13] might be more appropriate tests of dorsiflexion since they are weight bearing and integrated with other parts of the kinetic chain.

The purpose of this case study was to show the effect of targeting mobility and dynamic postural control in combination with strength training on jump performance in an international level basketball player.

**Methods: testing**

LH is a 19 yo professional basketball (87 kg, 189 cm) player at the highest level in Europe. He came to us wanting to improve speed and jumping performance. Objective assessment of functional mobility and dynamic postural control was done using the hand reach star excursion balance test (HSEBT), which has been found to be valid and reliable [14]. Furthermore, HSEBT was used as a qualitative (coordination and movement strategies utilized for the different tests) and subjective assessment (feedback from LH on different tests). Based on normalized measurements LH obtained a total HSEBT score of 5.3 (left 5.3; right 5.2) (Figure 1).

Strength was quantified (Newton) using the 1080 Quantum Syncro (1080 Motion Nordic AB, Stockholm, Sweden) in a single leg squat to isolate capacity of each lower extremity. Since the 1080 Quantum Syncro consists of a Smith rack the opposite lower extremity was free to serve as a pendulum during the squat, but not to touch the ground for support. A target of 90-degree knee flexion was used to define depth of the squat. The
following settings on 1080 Quantum were used: isotonic resistance mode, concentric speed 0.3 m·s⁻¹, eccentric speed 2.0 m·s⁻¹ with a concentric and eccentric load of 10 kg. The low concentric speed (0.3 m·s⁻¹ speed limit) makes the test isokinetic for most of extension movement. The average force of 5 repetitions of both legs were used as the strength measurement (1580 N). This to decrease load through the spine and quantify lower extremity strength. Force was not evaluated at different loads, thus no F-v or load-velocity relationship were calculated. Strength was also tested with a short deadlift 1 repetition maximum (180 kg). Jump performance was quantified by countermovement jumps (CMJ) using My Jump, which has been found to be reliable and valid [15]. At baseline LH had a CMJ with arm swing of 47 cm (best of 3 jumps). All results are presented in Table 1.

**Methods: analysis**

HSEBT analysis revealed limited abilities in flexion patterns on both legs, specifically dorsiflexion and hip flexion, which are closely related in the kinematic chain in flexion tests (L45, A0 and R45). Furthermore, a limited hip internal rotation was noted bilaterally (Figure 1).

**Figure 1.** HSEBT at evaluation

Force generating capacity of both lower extremity (30 N·kg⁻¹) have been used in the modeling of jumping performance [5], while others have found
greater values (39.6 N·kg\(^{-1}\)) to be correlated with different jumping performance variables [16]. Since no reference values of normalized isokinetic squat, neither bilateral nor unilateral, to jumping performance exist, we based our decision to focus on single leg squat isokinetic strength training on our experience where increased jumping performance have been observed in individuals with similar starting point (Table 1).

**Methods: training**
Since LH had been strength training since age 13 and familiar with all exercises familiarization to selected exercises was not needed. The purpose of the training program was to increase jump performance by focusing on the force generating capacity by traditional strength training, short maximum deadlifts (3x3, 3 sessions in 8 weeks) and single leg isokinetic squats (isotonic resistance with a concentric speed limiting ranging from 0.2 to 1.0 m/s (5x5 on each leg, 6 sessions in 8 weeks). These exercises were done on separate days and once a week for 8 weeks. The mobility and dynamic postural control program had a focus on ankle dorsiflexion as well as hip flexion and internal rotation. Soft tissue massage for calves, adductors and hip extensors was performed using a foam roller. Dynamic mobility training was done prior to, while static mobility training was done after each training sessions. Exercises targeting hip mobility (extension, internal rotation and abduction) were done in half kneeling and standing. Ankle dorsiflexion was targeted in standing with anterior, anteromedial (slight) and anterolateral (slight) knee reaches to target. A total of 6 training sessions per week were performed, which included regular basketball practice. In addition, overhead squats with a stick (no load) was done 3x10 every day.

**Results**
The last 2 weeks of his program and prior to retest LH had intense national team practices. Overall HSEBT score improved to 5.7 (+7.5%) (left 5.6 (+5.6%); right 5.8 (+11.5%)). All rotational tests were not retested due to scheduling difficulties (personal and national team). Maximum single leg isokinetic squat improved to 1680 Newton (6.3%), while short dead lift improved to 220 kg (22.2%). CMJ with arm swing (best of 3 jumps) improved to 57 cm (21.2%) (Table 1).
**Figure 2.** HSEBT after 8 weeks

![Figure 2](image)

**Table 1.** Test results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>Post</th>
<th>Change (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HSEBT overall score</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSEBT right score</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSEBT left score</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single leg squat (N)</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single leg squat (N·kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short deadlift (kg)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMJ (cm)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

The combined effect of the training program showed a large improvement in jumping performance (21%) in a short time (8 weeks). At the start of the training period LH had a jumping performance similar to what has been observed for some professional European players (46.44 cm) [17], while others have found higher values (52.0 cm) [18]. In comparison to the NBA where the average jump height is reported to be about 70 cm (www.topendsports.com) the 47-cm vertical jump is somewhat limited even if slightly lower values (40-75 cm) have been reported elsewhere in male basketball players [19]. Improvements of jumping performance after strength training in a basketball player, even if a short period (8 weeks), is to be expected [19]. As for the magnitude of the results, this is rather high in comparison to what has been found in other studies targeting the same population. Santos and co-workers found a 3.14 cm CMJ improvement after
a 10-week resistance and plyometric program in adolescent boys [20]. Tsimahidis and co-workers found a CMJ improvement in youth basketball players that performed strength training. In this study, no average data on improvement is presented, only individual development over the course of the 10-week program. However, none of the subjects had a 10-cm improvement, even if they did not have any strength training experience prior to participating in the study [21]. In another study by Santos and co-workers on adolescent boys without any previous strength training experience, only a 3.38 cm CMJ improvement was found after a 10-week strength training program [22].

Considering the large improvement in jump performance (21%) it is important to the cautious with the results. The My Jump App used to quantify CMJ performance has a coefficient of variation (CV) of 3.4% [15], which is a quantification (standard deviation (SD) divided by the mean) of how a measurement varies. Even by means of inferential statistics and a 95% confidence interval only ±6.7% could be accounted for by the measurement. Thus, a 21% change cannot be contributed to the equipment used. However, other factors rather than a true physical change cannot be disregarded such as a learning effect, time of day tested, fatigue, motivation and feedback. Any of these effects appear unlikely since there is no learning effect associated with jumping for a professional basketball player and LH had been strength training since the age of 13. Time of day was held consistent for both tests. Fatigue could be a factor, but more so for the post-test than the pre-test. At post-test training volume had increased with national team practice possibly affecting the results negatively. LH was highly motivated, which is why he came to us to improve jump performance, thus an unlikely factor to affect performance in the pre-testing. Feedback was the same for all test sessions. Overall, it appears that the mobility, dynamic postural control and strength training program improved jump performance.

**Conclusion**
The combination of dynamic postural control, mobility and strength training improved jump performance in a professional basketball player.
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